## **YUE ZHOU**, National University of Defense Technology *Perfect and almost perfect linear Lee codes*

Given a positive integer r, an abelian group G and a subset  $T = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\} \subseteq G \setminus \{e\}$ , if all elements in the multiset

$$\Psi := \left\{ * \ a_1^{\pm j_1} \cdots a_n^{\pm j_n} : 0 \le \sum_{k=1}^n j_k \le r, j_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \right\}$$

are distinct, and  $G = \Psi$ , then we call the Cayley graph  $\Gamma(G, S)$  an *Abelian-Cayley-Moore graph*, where  $S := T \cup T^{(-1)}$ . Under this condition, the size of G (i.e.  $|\Psi|$ ) is  $\sum_{i=0}^{\min\{n,r\}} 2^i {n \choose i} {r \choose i}$ .

It is a bit surprising that the existence of an Abelian-Cayley Moore graph is equivalent to a perfect linear Lee code of radius r in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , that is a lattice tiling of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  by the translations of an  $\ell_1$ -metric sphere of radius r. More than 50 years ago, Golomb and Welch conjectured that there is no perfect Lee code C for  $r \ge 2$  and  $n \ge 3$ . Recently, Leung and the speaker proved that if C is linear, then Golomb-Welch conjecture is true for r = 2 and  $n \ge 3$ .

In this talk, we consider the classification of linear Lee codes of the second best possibility, that is the density of the lattice packing of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  by Lee spheres S(n,r) equals  $\frac{|S(n,r)|}{|S(n,r)|+1}$ . By checking the corresponding abelian Cayley graphs, an almost perfect linear Lee code is equivalent to the case with  $G = \Psi \cup \{f\}$  where f is the unique element of order 2 in G.