Report of the Thirty Seventh Canadian Mathematical Olympiad 2005





In addition to the Major Sponsor, Sun Life Finacial and the support from the University of Winnipeg, the Canadian Mathematical Society gratefully acknowledges the support of the following:

> Nelson Thomson Learning John Wiley and Sons Canada Ltd. McGraw Hill Ryerson Maplesoft A.K. Peters, Ltd.

Alberta Learning Department of Education, New Brunswick Department of Education, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Education, Northwest Territories Department of Education, Nova Scotia Ministry of Education, Ontario Ministère de l'Éducation, Québec Department of Education, Saskatchewan

University of British Columbia University of New Brunswick at Fredericton University of Ottawa University of Toronto Centre for Education in Mathematics and Computing, University of Waterloo The Canadian Mathematical Olympiad (CMO) is an annual national mathematics competition sponsored by the Candian Mathematical Society (CMS) and is administered by the Canadian Mathematical Olympiad Committee (CMO Committee), a sub-committee of the Mathematical Competitions Committee. The CMO was established in 1969 to provide an opportunity for students who performed well in various provincial mathematics competitions to compete at a national level. It also serves as preparation for those Canadian students competing at the International Mathematical Olympiad (IMO).

Students qualify to write the CMO by earning a sufficiently high score on the Canadian Open Mathematical Challenge (COMC). Students may also be nominated to write the CMO by a provincial coordinator.

The Society is grateful for support from the Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada as the Major Sponsor of the 2005 Canadian Mathematical Olympiad and the other sponsors which include: the Ministry of Education of Ontario; the Ministry of Education of Quebec; Alberta Learning; the Department of Education, New Brunswick; the Department of Education, Newfoundland and Labrador; the Department of Education, the Northwest Territories; the Department of Education of Saskatchewan; the Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Winnipeg; the Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New Brunswick at Fredericton; the Centre for Education in Mathematics and Computing, University of Waterloo; the Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa; the Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto; the Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia; Nelson Thompson Learning; John Wiley and Sons Canada Ltd.; A.K. Peters and Maplesoft.

The provincial coordinators of the CMO are Peter Crippin, University of Waterloo ON; John Denton, Dawson College QC; Diane Dowling, University of Manitoba; Harvey Gerber, Simon Fraser University BC; Gareth J. Griffith, University of Saskatchewan; Jacques Labelle, Université du Québec à Montréal; Peter Minev, University of Alberta; Gordon MacDonald, University of Prince Edward Island; Roman Mureika, University of New Brunswick; Thérèse Ouellet, Université de Montréal QC; Donald Rideout, Memorial University of Newfoundland.

I offer my sincere thanks to the CMO Committee members who helped compose and/or mark the exam: Jeff Babb, University of Winnipeg; Robert Craigen, University of Manitoba; James Currie, University of Winnipeg; Robert Dawson, St. Mary's University; Chris Fisher, University of Regina; Rolland Gaudet, College Universitaire de St. Boniface; J. P. Grossman, D. E. Shaw Research and Development; Richard Hoshino, Dalhousie University; Kirill Kopotun, University of Manitoba; Ortrud Oellermann, University of Winnipeg; Naoki Sato, William M. Mercer; Anna Stokke, University of Winnipeg; Ross Stokke, University of Winnipeg; Daryl Tingley, University of New Brunswick.

I am grateful to Václav Linek, Charlene Pawluk and Mark Stinner from University of Winnipeg, as well as Michelle Davidson from University of Manitoba, for assistance with marking. I would like to thank Rolland Gaudet for the French translation of the Exam and Solutions and Matthieu Dufour, Université du Québec à Montréal for proofreading many of the French documents. I'm also grateful for the support provided by the CMS Mathematics Competitions Committee chaired by George Bluman, University of British Columbia. A project of this magnitude cannot run smoothly without a great deal of administrative assistance and I'm indebted to Nathalie Blanchard of the CMS Executive Office and Julie Beaver of the Mathematics and Statistics Department, University of Winnipeg for all of their help. Finally, a special thank you must go out to Graham Wright, Executive Director of the CMS, who oversaw the organization of this year's contest and provided a great deal of support and encouragement. His continued commitment to the CMO is a vital component of its success.

Terry Visentin, Chair Canadian Mathematical Olympiad Committee The 37th (2005) Canadian Mathematical Olympiad was held on Wednesday, March 30th, 2005. A total of 75 students from 48 schools in eight Canadian provinces wrote the paper. One Canadian student wrote the exam in Singapore. The number of contestants from each province was as follows:

BC(8) AB(10) SK(1) MB(3) ON(47) QC(3) NB(1) PEI(1)

The 2005 CMO consisted of five questions. Each question was worth 7 marks for a total maximum score of m=35. The contestants' performances were grouped into four divisions as follows.

| Division | Range of Scores | No. of Students |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| I | 24 <i>≤ m <</i> 35 | 10 |
| | 18 <i>≤ m</i> < 24 | 15 |
| III | 14 <i>≤ m</i> < 18 | 19 |
| IV | 0 <i>≤ m <</i> 14 | 31 |

FIRST PRIZE — Sun Life Financial Cup — \$2000 Peng Shi

Sir John A. MacDonald Collegiate Institute, Agincourt, Ontario

SECOND PRIZE — \$1500 Richard Peng

Vaughan Road Academy, Toronto, Ontario

THIRD PRIZE — \$1000 Yufei Zhao

Don Mills Collegiate Institute

HONOURABLE MENTIONS — \$500 Boris Braverman

Sir Winston Churchill High School, Calgary, Alberta

Elyot Grant

Cameron Heights Collegiate Institute, Kitchener, Ontario

Zheng Guo Western Canada High School, Calgary, Alberta

> Oleg Ivrii Don Mills Collegiate Institute, Don Mills, Ontario

> **Lin Fei** Don Mills Collegiate Institute, Don Mills, Ontario

Dong Uk (David) Rhee McNally School, Edmonton, Alberta

Shaun White Vincent Massey Secondary School, Windsor, Ontario BC

BC

ON

ON

ON

ON

ON

ON

ON

QC

ON

ON

ON

AB

BC

Division 2

18 *≤ m <* 24

| Farzin Barekat Rongtao Dan |
|-------------------------------|
| Bo Hong Deng |
| William Fu |
| Kent Huynh |
| Aidin Kashigar |
| Viktoriya Krakovna |
| William Ma |
| Jennifer Park |
| Karol Przybytkowski |
| Luke Schaeffer |
| Geoffrey Siu |
| Alex Wice |
| Brian Yu |
| Allen Zhang |

Sutherland Secondary School Point Grey Secondary School Jarvis Collegiate Institute A.Y. Jackson Secondary School University of Toronto Schools Sir Frederick Banting Secondary School Vaughan Road Academy Waterloo Collegiate Institute Bluevale Collegiate Institute Marianopolis College Centennial C. & V.I. London Central Secondary School Leaside High School Old Scona Academic High School St. George's School

Division 3

14 *≤ m* < 18

| Eunse Chang | Don Mills Collegiate Institute | ON |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| Yiru Chen | Semiahmoo Secondary School | BC |
| Francis Chung | A.B. Lucas Secondary School | ON |
| Shawn C. Eastwood | Canadian International School (Singapoor) | CA |
| Weixi Fan | Dover Bay Secondary School | BC |
| Mostafa Fatehi | Colonel Gray Senior High School | PEI |
| Yingfen Huang | The Woodlands School | ON |
| Kevin Lam | St. John's-Ravenscourt School | MB |
| Taotao Liu | Vincent Massey Secondary School | ON |
| Nick Murdoch | London Central Secondary School | ON |
| Chuanming Qi | Jarvis Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Roman Shapiro | Vincent Massey Secondary School | ON |
| Jimmy Shen | Vincent Massey Secondary School | ON |
| Sarah Sun | Holy Trinity Academy | AB |
| Ruiqing Wang | Vanier College | QC |
| Malka Wrigley | Old Scona Academic High School | AB |
| Wenxin Xu | Don Mills Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Qi Yao | Glenforest Secondary School | ON |
| Vivian Zhang | Bayview Secondary School | ON |

Division 4 $0 \le m < 14$

| Larry Chang | Seaquam Secondary School | BC |
|-----------------------|--|----|
| Harry Chang | A.B. Lucas Secondary School | ON |
| Chan Ching Chen | St. George's School | BC |
| Dmitri Dziabenko | Don Mills Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Dong (Polly) Han | Western Canada High School | AB |
| Ari Jeon | North Toronto Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Sha Jin | York Mills Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Ying Li | Lisgar Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Chen Li | Fredericton High School | NB |
| Ye Qing Lin | Earl Of March Secondary School | ON |
| Elliot Lipnowski | St. John's-Ravenscourt School | MB |
| Shengyan Liu | Martingrove Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Yuchen Mu | St. John's-Ravenscourt School | MB |
| Yongho Park | Richmond Hill High School | ON |
| Alex Qi | Waterloo Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Difu Shi | Glebe Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Hunter Song | A.Y. Jackson Secondary School | ON |
| Chen Sun | Tom Griffiths Home School | ON |
| Jia Xi Sun | Walter Murray Collegiate Institute | SK |
| Eric Tran | Western Canada High School | AB |
| Kuan Chieh Tseng | Yale Secondary School | BC |
| Jenny Wang | Don Mills Collegiate Institute | ON |
| David Wang | London Central Secondary School | ON |
| Frederic Weigand Warr | College Jean-De-Brebeuf | QC |
| Steven Wu | A.Y. Jackson Secondary School | ON |
| Xiaodi Wu | University of Toronto Schools | ON |
| Rui Xue | Martingrove Collegiate Institute | ON |
| Yiyi Yang | Western Canada High School | AB |
| Johnny Zhang | William Lyon Mackenzie C.I. | ON |
| Ken Zhang | Western Canada High School | AB |
| Ryan Zhou | Adam Scott Collegiate Vocational Institute | ON |

37th Canadian Mathematical Olympiad March 30, 2005

1. Consider an equilateral triangle of side length n, which is divided into unit triangles, as shown. Let f(n) be the number of paths from the triangle in the top row to the middle triangle in the bottom row, such that adjacent triangles in our path share a common edge and the path never travels up (from a lower row to a higher row) or revisits a triangle. An example of one such path is illustrated below for n = 5. Determine the value of f(2005).



- 2. Let (a, b, c) be a Pythagorean triple, *i.e.*, a triplet of positive integers with $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$.
 - a) Prove that $(c/a + c/b)^2 > 8$.
 - b) Prove that there does not exist any integer n for which we can find a Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) satisfying $(c/a + c/b)^2 = n$.
- 3. Let S be a set of $n \ge 3$ points in the interior of a circle.
 - a) Show that there are three distinct points $a, b, c \in S$ and three distinct points A, B, C on the circle such that a is (strictly) closer to A than any other point in S, b is closer to B than any other point in S and c is closer to C than any other point in S.
 - b) Show that for no value of n can four such points in S (and corresponding points on the circle) be guaranteed.
- 4. Let ABC be a triangle with circumradius R, perimeter P and area K. Determine the maximum value of KP/R^3 .
- 5. Let's say that an ordered triple of positive integers (a, b, c) is *n*-powerful if $a \le b \le c$, gcd(a, b, c) = 1, and $a^n + b^n + c^n$ is divisible by a + b + c. For example, (1, 2, 2) is 5-powerful.
 - a) Determine all ordered triples (if any) which are *n*-powerful for all $n \ge 1$.
 - b) Determine all ordered triples (if any) which are 2004-powerful and 2005-powerful, but not 2007-powerful.

[Note that gcd(a, b, c) is the greatest common divisor of a, b and c.]

Solutions to the 2005 CMO

written March 30, 2005

1. Consider an equilateral triangle of side length n, which is divided into unit triangles, as shown. Let f(n) be the number of paths from the triangle in the top row to the middle triangle in the bottom row, such that adjacent triangles in our path share a common edge and the path never travels up (from a lower row to a higher row) or revisits a triangle. An example of one such path is illustrated below for n = 5. Determine the value of f(2005).



Solution

We shall show that f(n) = (n-1)!.

Label the horizontal line segments in the triangle l_1, l_2, \ldots as in the diagram below. Since the path goes from the top triangle to a triangle in the bottom row and never travels up, the path must cross each of $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{n-1}$ exactly once. The diagonal lines in the triangle divide l_k into k unit line segments and the path must cross exactly one of these k segments for each k. (In the diagram below, these line segments have been highlighted.) The path is completely determined by the set of n - 1 line segments which are crossed. So as the path moves from the kth row to the (k + 1)st row, there are k possible line segments where the path could cross l_k . Since there are $1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (n - 1) = (n - 1)!$ ways that the path could cross the n - 1 horizontal lines, and each one corresponds to a unique path, we get f(n) = (n - 1)!.

Therefore f(2005) = (2004)!.



- 2. Let (a, b, c) be a Pythagorean triple, *i.e.*, a triplet of positive integers with $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$.
 - a) Prove that $(c/a + c/b)^2 > 8$.
 - b) Prove that there does not exist any integer n for which we can find a Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) satisfying $(c/a + c/b)^2 = n$.

a) Solution 1

Let (a, b, c) be a Pythagorean triple. View a, b as lengths of the legs of a right angled triangle with hypotenuse of length c; let θ be the angle determined by the sides with lengths a and c. Then

$$\left(\frac{c}{a} + \frac{c}{b}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\cos\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\right)^2 = \frac{\sin^2\theta + \cos^2\theta + 2\sin\theta\cos\theta}{(\sin\theta\cos\theta)^2}$$
$$= 4\left(\frac{1 + \sin 2\theta}{\sin^2 2\theta}\right) = \frac{4}{\sin^2 2\theta} + \frac{4}{\sin 2\theta}$$

Note that because $0 < \theta < 90^{\circ}$, we have $0 < \sin 2\theta \leq 1$, with equality only if $\theta = 45^{\circ}$. But then a = b and we obtain $\sqrt{2} = c/a$, contradicting a, c both being integers. Thus, $0 < \sin 2\theta < 1$ which gives $(c/a + c/b)^2 > 8$.

Solution 2

Defining θ as in Solution 1, we have $c/a + c/b = \sec \theta + \csc \theta$. By the AM-GM inequality, we have $(\sec \theta + \csc \theta)/2 \ge \sqrt{\sec \theta \csc \theta}$. So

$$c/a + c/b \ge \frac{2}{\sqrt{\sin\theta\cos\theta}} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sin 2\theta}} \ge 2\sqrt{2}.$$

Since a, b, c are integers, we have $c/a + c/b > 2\sqrt{2}$ which gives $(c/a + c/b)^2 > 8$.

Solution 3

By simplifying and using the AM-GM inequality,

$$\left(\frac{c}{a} + \frac{c}{b}\right)^2 = c^2 \left(\frac{a+b}{ab}\right)^2 = \frac{(a^2+b^2)(a+b)^2}{a^2b^2} \ge \frac{2\sqrt{a^2b^2}\left(2\sqrt{ab}\right)^2}{a^2b^2} = 8$$

with equality only if a = b. By using the same argument as in Solution 1, a cannot equal b and the inequality is strict.

Solution 4

$$\left(\frac{c}{a} + \frac{c}{b}\right)^2 = \frac{c^2}{a^2} + \frac{c^2}{b^2} + \frac{2c^2}{ab} = 1 + \frac{b^2}{a^2} + \frac{a^2}{b^2} + 1 + \frac{2(a^2 + b^2)}{ab}$$
$$= 2 + \left(\frac{a}{b} - \frac{b}{a}\right)^2 + 2 + \frac{2}{ab}\left((a - b)^2 + 2ab\right)$$
$$= 4 + \left(\frac{a}{b} - \frac{b}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{2(a - b)^2}{ab} + 4 \ge 8,$$

with equality only if a = b, which (as argued previously) cannot occur.

b) Solution 1

Since c/a + c/b is rational, $(c/a + c/b)^2$ can only be an integer if c/a + c/b is an integer. Suppose c/a + c/b = m. We may assume that gcd(a, b) = 1. (If not, divide the common factor from (a, b, c), leaving m unchanged.)

Since c(a+b) = mab and gcd(a, a+b) = 1, a must divide c, say c = ak. This gives $a^2 + b^2 = a^2k^2$ which implies $b^2 = (k^2 - 1)a^2$. But then a divides b contradicting the fact that gcd(a, b) = 1. Therefore $(c/a + c/b)^2$ is not equal to any integer n.

Solution 2

We begin as in Solution 1, supposing that c/a + c/b = m with gcd(a, b) = 1. Hence a and b are not both even. It is also the case that a and b are not both odd, for then $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and perfect squares are congruent to either 0 or 1 modulo 4. So one of a, b is odd and the other is even. Therefore c must be odd.

Now c/a + c/b = m implies c(a+b) = mab, which cannot be true because c(a+b) is odd and mab is even.

- 3. Let S be a set of $n \ge 3$ points in the interior of a circle.
 - a) Show that there are three distinct points $a, b, c \in S$ and three distinct points A, B, C on the circle such that a is (strictly) closer to A than any other point in S, b is closer to B than any other point in S and c is closer to C than any other point in S.
 - b) Show that for no value of n can four such points in S (and corresponding points on the circle) be guaranteed.

a) Let H be the smallest convex set of points in the plane which contains S.[†] Take 3 points $a, b, c \in S$ which lie on the boundary of H. (There must always be at least 3 (but not necessarily 4) such points.)

Since a lies on the boundary of the convex region H, we can construct a chord L such that no two points of H lie on opposite sides of L. Of the two points where the perpendicular to L at a meets the circle, choose one which is on a side of L not containing any points of H and call this point A. Certainly A is closer to a than to any other point on L or on the other side of L. Hence A is closer to a than to any other point of S. We can find the required points B and C in an analogous way and the proof is complete.

[Note that this argument still holds if all the points of S lie on a line.]



b) Let PQR be an equilateral triangle inscribed in the circle and let a, b, c be midpoints of the three sides of $\triangle PQR$. If r is the radius of the circle, then every point on the circle is within $(\sqrt{3}/2)r$ of one of a, b or c. (See figure (b) above.) Now $\sqrt{3}/2 < 9/10$, so if S consists of a, b, c and a cluster of points within r/10 of the centre of the circle, then we cannot select 4 points from S (and corresponding points on the circle) having the desired property.

[†]By the way, H is called the convex hull of S. If the points of S lie on a line, then H will be the shortest line segment containing the points of S. Otherwise, H is a polygon whose vertices are all elements of S and such that all other points in S lie inside or on this polygon.

a) If all the points of S lie on a line L, then choose any 3 of them to be a, b, c. Let A be a point on the circle which meets the perpendicular to L at a. Clearly A is closer to a than to any other point on L, and hence closer than other other point in S. We find B and C in an analogous way.

Otherwise, choose a, b, c from S so that the triangle formed by these points has maximal area. Construct the altitude from the side bc to the point a and extend this line until it meets the circle at A. We claim that A is closer to a than to any other point in S.

Suppose not. Let x be a point in S for which the distance from A to x is less than the distance from A to a. Then the perpendicular distance from x to the line bcmust be greater than the perpendicular distance from a to the line bc. But then the triangle formed by the points x, b, c has greater area than the triangle formed by a, b, c, contradicting the original choice of these 3 points. Therefore A is closer to a than to any other point in S.

The points B and C are found by constructing similar altitudes through b and c, respectively.

b) See Solution 1.

4. Let ABC be a triangle with circumradius R, perimeter P and area K. Determine the maximum value of KP/R^3 .

Solution 1

Since similar triangles give the same value of KP/R^3 , we can fix R = 1 and maximize KP over all triangles inscribed in the unit circle. Fix points A and B on the unit circle. The locus of points C with a given perimeter P is an ellipse that meets the circle in at most four points. The area K is maximized (for a fixed P) when C is chosen on the perpendicular bisector of AB, so we get a maximum value for KP if C is where the perpendicular bisector of AB meets the circle. Thus the maximum value of KP for a given AB occurs when ABC is an isosceles triangle. Repeating this argument with BC fixed, we have that the maximum occurs when ABC is an equilateral triangle.

Consider an equilateral triangle with side length a. It has P = 3a. It has height equal to $a\sqrt{3}/2$ giving $K = a^2\sqrt{3}/4$. From the extended law of sines, $2R = a/\sin(60)$ giving $R = a/\sqrt{3}$. Therefore the maximum value we seek is

$$KP/R^3 = \left(\frac{a^2\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)(3a)\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{a}\right)^3 = \frac{27}{4}.$$

Solution 2

From the extended law of sines, the lengths of the sides of the triangle are $2R \sin A$, $2R \sin B$ and $2R \sin C$. So

$$P = 2R(\sin A + \sin B + \sin C)$$
 and $K = \frac{1}{2}(2R\sin A)(2R\sin B)(\sin C)$,

giving

$$\frac{KP}{R^3} = 4\sin A \sin B \sin C(\sin A + \sin B + \sin C).$$

We wish to find the maximum value of this expression over all $A + B + C = 180^{\circ}$. Using well-known identities for sums and products of sine functions, we can write

$$\frac{KP}{R^3} = 4\sin A \left(\frac{\cos(B-C)}{2} - \frac{\cos(B+C)}{2}\right) \left(\sin A + 2\sin\left(\frac{B+C}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{B-C}{2}\right)\right)$$

If we first consider A to be fixed, then B + C is fixed also and this expression takes its maximum value when $\cos(B - C)$ and $\cos\left(\frac{B-C}{2}\right)$ equal 1; *i.e.* when B = C. In a similar way, one can show that for any fixed value of B, KP/R^3 is maximized when A = C. Therefore the maximum value of KP/R^3 occurs when $A = B = C = 60^\circ$, and it is now an easy task to substitute this into the above expression to obtain the maximum value of 27/4.

As in Solution 2, we obtain

$$\frac{KP}{R^3} = 4\sin A \sin B \sin C (\sin A + \sin B + \sin C).$$

From the AM-GM inequality, we have

$$\sin A \sin B \sin C \le \left(\frac{\sin A + \sin B + \sin C}{3}\right)^3,$$

giving

$$\frac{KP}{R^3} \le \frac{4}{27} (\sin A + \sin B + \sin C)^4,$$

with equality when $\sin A = \sin B = \sin C$. Since the sine function is concave on the interval from 0 to π , Jensen's inequality gives

$$\frac{\sin A + \sin B + \sin C}{3} \le \sin\left(\frac{A + B + C}{3}\right) = \sin\frac{\pi}{3} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}.$$

Since equality occurs here when $\sin A = \sin B = \sin C$ also, we can conclude that the maximum value of KP/R^3 is $\frac{4}{27} \left(\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^4 = 27/4$.

- 5. Let's say that an ordered triple of positive integers (a, b, c) is *n*-powerful if $a \le b \le c$, gcd(a, b, c) = 1, and $a^n + b^n + c^n$ is divisible by a + b + c. For example, (1, 2, 2) is 5-powerful.
 - a) Determine all ordered triples (if any) which are *n*-powerful for all $n \ge 1$.
 - b) Determine all ordered triples (if any) which are 2004-powerful and 2005-powerful, but not 2007-powerful.

[Note that gcd(a, b, c) is the greatest common divisor of a, b and c.]

Solution 1

Let $T_n = a^n + b^n + c^n$ and consider the polynomial

 $P(x) = (x - a)(x - b)(x - c) = x^{3} - (a + b + c)x^{2} + (ab + ac + bc)x - abc.$

Since P(a) = 0, we get $a^3 = (a + b + c)a^2 - (ab + ac + bc)a + abc$ and multiplying both sides by a^{n-3} we obtain $a^n = (a + b + c)a^{n-1} - (ab + ac + bc)a^{n-2} + (abc)a^{n-3}$. Applying the same reasoning, we can obtain similar expressions for b^n and c^n and adding the three identities we get that T_n satisfies the following 3-term recurrence:

$$T_n = (a+b+c)T_{n-1} - (ab+ac+bc)T_{n-2} + (abc)T_{n-3}$$
, for all $n \ge 3$.

From this we see that if T_{n-2} and T_{n-3} are divisible by a + b + c, then so is T_n . This immediately resolves part (b)—there are no ordered triples which are 2004-powerful and 2005-powerful, but not 2007-powerful—and reduces the number of cases to be considered in part (a): since all triples are 1-powerful, the recurrence implies that any ordered triple which is both 2-powerful and 3-powerful is *n*-powerful for all $n \ge 1$.

Putting n = 3 in the recurrence, we have

 $a^3 + b^3 + c^3 = (a + b + c)(a^2 + b^2 + c^2) - (ab + ac + bc)(a + b + c) + 3abc$ which implies that (a, b, c) is 3-powerful if and only if 3abc is divisible by a + b + c. Since

$$a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} = (a + b + c)^{2} - 2(ab + ac + bc),$$

(a, b, c) is 2-powerful if and only if 2(ab + ac + bc) is divisible by a + b + c.

Suppose a prime $p \ge 5$ divides a + b + c. Then p divides abc. Since gcd(a, b, c) = 1, p divides exactly one of a, b or c; but then p doesn't divide 2(ab + ac + bc).

Suppose 3^2 divides a + b + c. Then 3 divides abc, implying 3 divides exactly one of a, b or c. But then 3 doesn't divide 2(ab + ac + bc).

Suppose 2^2 divides a + b + c. Then 4 divides abc. Since gcd(a, b, c) = 1, at most one of a, b or c is even, implying one of a, b, c is divisible by 4 and the others are odd. But then ab + ac + bc is odd and 4 doesn't divide 2(ab + ac + bc).

So if (a, b, c) is 2- and 3-powerful, then a + b + c is not divisible by 4 or 9 or any prime greater than 3. Since a + b + c is at least 3, a + b + c is either 3 or 6. It is now a simple matter to check the possibilities and conclude that the only triples which are *n*-powerful for all $n \ge 1$ are (1, 1, 1) and (1, 1, 4).

Let p be a prime. By Fermat's Little Theorem,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } p \text{ doesn't divide } a; \\ 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } p \text{ divides } a. \end{cases}$$

Since gcd(a, b, c) = 1, we have that $a^{p-1} + b^{p-1} + c^{p-1} \equiv 1, 2$ or 3 (mod p). Therefore if p is a prime divisor of $a^{p-1} + b^{p-1} + c^{p-1}$, then p equals 2 or 3. So if (a, b, c) is n-powerful for all $n \geq 1$, then the only primes which can divide a + b + c are 2 or 3.

We can proceed in a similar fashion to show that a + b + c is not divisible by 4 or 9. Since

$$a^{2} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{4}, & \text{if } p \text{ is even;} \\ 1 \pmod{4}, & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and a, b, c aren't all even, we have that $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 \equiv 1, 2$ or 3 (mod 4).

By expanding $(3k)^3$, $(3k+1)^3$ and $(3k+2)^3$, we find that a^3 is congruent to 0, 1 or -1 modulo 9. Hence

$$a^{6} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{9}, & \text{if 3 divides } a; \\ 1 \pmod{9}, & \text{if 3 doesn't divide } a. \end{cases}$$

Since a, b, c aren't all divisible by 3, we have that $a^6 + b^6 + c^6 \equiv 1, 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{9}$.

So $a^2 + b^2 + c^2$ is not divisible by 4 and $a^6 + b^6 + c^6$ is not divisible by 9. Thus if (a, b, c) is *n*-powerful for all $n \ge 1$, then a + b + c is not divisible by 4 or 9. Therefore a + b + c is either 3 or 6 and checking all possibilities, we conclude that the only triples which are *n*-powerful for all $n \ge 1$ are (1, 1, 1) and (1, 1, 4).

See Solution 1 for the (b) part.

GRADER'S REPORT

Each question was worth a maximum of 7 marks. Every solution on every paper was graded by two different markers. If the two marks differed by more than one point, the solution was reconsidered until the difference was resolved. If the two marks differed by one point, the average was used in computing the total score. The top papers were then reconsidered until the committee was confident that the prize-winning contestants were ranked correctly.

The various marks assigned to each solution are displayed below, as a percentage. As described above, fractional scores are possible, but for the purpose of this table, marks are rounded up. So, for example, 54.7% of the students obtained a score of 6.5 or 7 on the first problem. This indicates that on 54.7% of the papers, at least one marker must have awarded a 7 on question #1.

| Marks | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 9.3 | 4.0 | 53.3 | 20.0 | 48.0 |
| 1 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 41.3 |
| 2 | 2.7 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 |
| 3 | 1.3 | 22.7 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 |
| 4 | 4.0 | 18.7 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 5.3 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 17.3 | 1.3 |
| 6 | 16.0 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 1.3 |
| 7 | 54.7 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 2.7 |

At the outset our marking philosophy was as follows: A score of 7 was given for a completely correct solution. A score of 6 indicated a solution which was essentially correct, but with a very minor error or omission. Very significant progress had to be made to obtain a score of 3. Even scores of 1 or 2 were not awarded unless some significant work was done. Scores of 4 and 5 were reserved for special situations. This approach had to be modified somewhat for the questions with more than one part.

PROBLEM 1

This problem was very well done. Although there were a few slightly different ways to proceed (some students used induction, for example), every solution essentially involves enumerating the number of possible ways that the path can get from one row to the next.

PROBLEM 2

This problem was fairly well done with most students making significant progress on at least one of the two parts, usually (a). Three marks were awarded for a correct solution to the (a) part. There were many different ways to proceed here and the four official solutions provide a representative sample. The most common approach was to use AM-GM in a manner similar to Solution 3. One mark was deducted if students didn't show that the inequality was strict. Four marks were awarded for a correct solution to (b). Again there are many different ways to proceed, but the students had a more difficult time writing clear solutions to this part.

PROBLEM 3

This problem proved to be quite difficult and no student obtained a perfect score. Four marks were awarded for solving (a) and three marks were awarded for (b). About 12 students managed to solve the (b) part, but only 4 were able to provide a complete proof for (a). Many students made partial progress on the (a) part only to find that their argument didn't cover all possible situations. This was the most challenging question to grade.

PROBLEM 4

This geometry problem was quite well done. About half of the contestants realized that the maximum value occurred when the triangle was equilateral, but it was necessary to prove this to obtain full marks. Two students gave geometric arguments similar to Solution 1. Most students expressed KP/R^3 in terms of trig functions (as in Solutions 2, but there were many variations) and attempted to maximize the expression over all possible angles. There are many ways to do this, but some care had to be taken. Solutions 2 and 3 show two of the better approaches.

PROBLEM 5

Few students made significant progress on this challenging problem. Five marks were awarded for the (a) part and two marks for (b). The four students who attained high marks on this question all used an approach similar to Solution 1. One mark was given to students who found a solution by inspection.