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Quaternion Algebras and the Burkhardt Quartic
The Burkhardt quartic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ is given by

$$
B: f\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right):=y_{0}\left(y_{0}^{3}+y_{1}^{3}+y_{2}^{3}+y_{3}^{3}+y_{4}^{3}\right)+3 y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4}=0 .
$$

This variety has been studied extensively since 1890 (originally by Heinrich Burkhardt), and has several different characterizations. Points on the Burkhardt quartic correspond to the class of curves that admit a model of the form $y^{2}=h(x)$ where $h$ is a squarefree polynomial of degree 6 , together with 40 decompositions of the form

$$
h(x)=G(x)^{2}+\lambda H(x)^{3} .
$$

Part of this correspondence involves marking 6 points on a conic $C$, and in order to obtain 6 corresponding points on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ for defining $h$, it is necessary that $C$ has a $k$-rational point. The Burkhardt has another, natural symmetric model $B^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}$ given by

$$
B^{\prime}: \sigma_{1}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{5}\right)=\sigma_{4}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{5}\right)=0
$$

where the $\sigma_{i}$ are elementary symmetric functions. This model and the original Burkhardt are isomorphic over $\mathbb{C}$ (in fact over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{3}\right)$ ), so they are geometrically equivalent. However, they are not isomorphic over $\mathbb{Q}$. In other words, $B^{\prime}$ is a nontrivial twist of $B$. Several properties over $\mathbb{Q}$ change drastically upon twisting the Burkhardt, in particular whether or not the conic $C$ has $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points (for instance when obtained from $B$ it does, while from $B^{\prime}$ there are local obstructions over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{3}$ ).

