

ANNA FRID, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics SB RAS  
*On complexity of infinite permutations*

Let us say that two sequences of pairwise distinct reals  $\dots, a_1, a_2, \dots$  and  $\dots, b_1, b_2, \dots$  defined on the same set  $S$  (which can be finite, or equal to  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) are equivalent if for all  $i, j \in S$  we have  $a_i < a_j$  if and only if  $b_i < b_j$ . An equivalence class of sequences on  $S$  will be called an  $(S\text{-})$ permutation. An  $S$ -permutation can be also interpreted as a linear ordering of  $S$ . A permutation  $\bar{a}$  having a representative  $a = \dots, a_1, a_2, \dots$  is called  $t$ -periodic if for all  $i, j$  such that  $i, j, i+t, j+t \in S$  we have  $a_i < a_j$  if and only if  $a_{i+t} < a_{j+t}$ . An  $\mathbb{N}$ -permutation is called *ultimately  $t$ -periodic* if the periodicity property holds for all  $i, j \geq n_0$  for some  $n_0$ .

Surprisingly, for all  $t \geq 2$  there exist infinitely many  $t$ -periodic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -permutations. We characterize them and give a way to code each of them.

Then we define *complexity*  $f_{\bar{a}}(n)$  of a permutation  $\bar{a}$  as the number of permutations (*i.e.*, equivalence classes)  $\overline{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+n-1}}$ . Analogously to the subword complexity of words, this function is non-decreasing, and we have:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\bar{a}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$  ( $\mathbb{N}$ -)permutation; then  $f_{\bar{a}}(n) \leq C$  if and only if  $\bar{a}$  is periodic (ultimately periodic).*

However, other properties of subword complexity cannot be directly extended to complexity of permutations: in particular, one-sided and two-sided infinite permutations have different minimal complexity.

**Theorem 2** *For each unbounded growing function  $g(n)$  there exists a not ultimately periodic  $\mathbb{N}$ -permutation  $\bar{a}$  with  $f_{\bar{a}}(n) \leq g(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . On the other hand, for each non-periodic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -permutation  $\bar{a}$  we have  $f_{\bar{a}}(n) \geq n - C$  for some constant  $C$  which can be arbitrarily large.*

This is a joint work with D. G. Fon-Der-Flaass.